Sustainable Whole System Human Resource Development 7.0

Mujib Musta'in, Eka Askafi, Ali Sukamtono

Postgraduate Program, Universitas Islam Kadiri, Kediri, Indonesia

∗ Correspondence: gus.mmr@gmail.com

Abstract: The problem of dismantling development that has often occurred so far is that a complete and *sustainable* system has not yet been found. A comprehensive construction of thinking is needed. The resource system developed so far is inadequate because it only consists of two components, namely Natural Resources and Human Resources (HR). The 'one' component that is more important has not been widely discussed, namely God's Resources (SDT). The Purpose of development is to build the whole person, physically and mentally, body and soul, world and hereafter. The relationship pattern between the two is Duality, which can be distinguished but cannot be separated. Three components constitute an inseparable whole system. All three have a synergistic relationship, naturalist-humanist-religious. A means is a path *(sirot/toriq/sabil) in the* form of a device or instrument that becomes an intermediary medium *(wasilah)* to achieve the desired result. Social media is growing so rapidly today. The more sophisticated the media, the faster the process and the more precise the target. The seven-step system that starts from point zero (7.0) upfront is a media model for full and sustainable human *development.* This pattern is worth developing. The basis of thinking is clear, sequential, coherent intact, religiously scientific, and paradigmatic. Scientific because it is based on empirical logic (natural), religious because it is based on the word of God (Ilahiyah), and paradigmatic because it has a humane synergistic mindset (insaniyah).

Keywords: Sustainable Human Resource Development, Coherent and Whole 7.0 Naturalist, and Humanist Religious

1. Introduction

The essence of development is to build the whole person, physically and mentally, body and soul, and also the world hereafter because the Indonesian nation is a religious people. The source of truth is not only from natural reality *(scientific)* and human reasoning *(theoretical),* but also from the word of God *(religious).* For

religious people, especially Muslims, the source of power (strength) is from God Almighty. *"Laa haula walaa quwata illa billah"* (There is no engineering and power except from Allah). The concept of *Duo resources,* SDA (Natural Resources) and HR (God Resources) needs to be reconstructed with a new paradigm, namely *trio resources,* by including SDT (God Resources).

The main human problems are three points: (1) the problem of man's relationship with nature, (2) the problem of man's relationship with his fellow man, and (3) the problem of man's relationship with God. Humans are social creatures, and they live in groups based on these three points. First, based on the nature of birth, they live in tribes (races). Second, based on the characteristics of their humanity, tribe, and race (nation). Third, based on their divinity (religion). This is what often causes problems. In large groups, they form *states* that are rooted in their birth. They also form a *nation* based on their human characteristics. And they also form groups based on their common God *(umat)* which is based on religion.

To anticipate these problems, a constructive method and paradigm is needed, how to synergize these three large groups. Indonesia already has a basis emblazoned on the symbol of the garuda bird which reads Bhinneka Tuggal Ika (Diverse but one goal). However, how to implement it, it seems that it is still difficult to practice. A well-established concept and teachings that stem from these three issues are needed, Namely, concepts that are natural science (natural), spiritual religious teachings (divine), and humanist theories (insaniyah). Humans as Agents (actors), have consumptive and productive Duality behavior. As a consumer is free to make choices, and as a producer is free to make choices. Humans as system-bound structure, picture first and then write. Map the relationship between concepts first, then describe them. Positioning (mapping) is important so that people can accurately and quickly understand the problem. It is not easy indeed, as a Scientist is expected to be able to read facts and compile concepts. Being aware of reading the real world, there are still many mistakes (biases), let alone the real world, guidance from the Almighty is needed. JA In fact, in order to gain a full understanding, a dialog is needed between us so that we can hear, speak and see each other (QS 2:18). God created humans whose first function is hearing, then speaking, then seeing. Therefore, in this paper the author includes a Power Point (PPt) display that

can be presented gradually. Each step is accompanied by the sound of the Quran (see Figure 2).

2.   Reading the Whole Person

Reading so as not to be biased, a system that is ORDERED and UTUH is needed. Order starts from zero or point, because all of God's creations are originally round which means the number zero (nil or Laa). In Latin numerals, zero is symbolized by a circle which means empty or nothing (nil). However, in Arabic numerals the number zero is symbolized by a dot which means exist. Therefore, in Islamic philosophy there is the term Wujuduhu ka adamihi (existence is like nothing). UTUH means many but one (Bhineka Tunggal Ika); all of Allah's creations are in pairs (QS 36:36). The pair is at least 2 (two) and will be more complete if it is 3 (three), and will be more perfect if it is 4, 5, 6, 7, and so on.

In Islamic teachings, there is an order to read nature or facts and read kalam or writing (concepts). This is God's command contained in the Quran which was revealed for the first time (QS al Alaq: 1-5). In the first revealed verse, there are three SUBTANSI elements spoken in sequence, namely God, nature, and humans (TAM). These three elements are relevant to the sequence of verses 1, 2, and 3 in al Fatihah which is the first surah in the Quran. So there is a significant relationship between the first verse revealed and the first surah contained in the Quran.

Next, verses 4, 5, and 6 of Surah al Fatihah explain the positioning of verses 1, 2, and 3. God is positioned as the purpose, verse 4. Man is positioned as a process, verse 5. Nature is positioned as a means, verse 6. This positioning is very important because if it is wrong it will greatly affect the results. Meanwhile, verse 7 is positioned as the result which is the essence of every action. So, vertically there are three points, namely substance, position, and essence (see Figure 2). And horizontally there are seven points, namely 1. God, 2. Nature, 3. Humans, 4. Purpose, 5. Process, 6. Means, and, 7. Results (see figure 1).

These seven verses are the basic steps in reading anything, especially in reading including reading the whole human being. Before reading the Qur'an it is highly recommended to read istiadah or ta'awuj. Thus, if the 7 steps are depicted in the form of a line, then isti'adah is at number zero. So that the picture is as follows.

Figure 1:

READING PATTERNS OF NATURE, KALAM (GOD) AND THEORY (MAN)

Al-Alaq: 'READ' 1 -------- 2 --------- 3 -------- 4---------- 5 (First verse revealed)

Al-Fatiha: Ta'awuj ...... U m m u   lQ u r a n...... (The first Surah in the Quran)

Sequence: 0----------1---------2----------3---------4----------5---------6------------------- 7

Step: I   II   III   IV   V   VI   VII

D i l a l : ال اله الا للا حقيقة شريعة طريقة معرفة

T e o r i : *No* **God**

Nature Human Purpose Process Means Result

3. Continuous Coherence

In the last quarter century, the compromise between science and religion has made significant progress. The tendency to strengthen the *dual* relationship between religion and science has attracted the attention of many people. Arthur Peacocke, for example, wrote *The Science and Theology in the 20th Century (1981),* Ted Petters wrote Theology *and Natural Science (*1992), Robert Russel wrote *The Relevance of Tillich for the Theology and Science Dialog* (2001), and many more (Ahnaf, 2003: 43-53). Davis (2000: 6) mentions:

"The study of the origin of the universe is, I believe, both a scientific and a religious voyage of discovery: scientific because we use the techniques of the scientific method - exploration and deduction; religious because it contains the elements of awe and wonder, and it stimulates questions about purpose and the ends."

Science aims to establish the efficient causes of phenomena (necessity) through *reason*, while religion seeks final causes (belief) through *feeling*. Both approaches have their own legitimacy through the structures they fit into, within different conceptual constructs. With their metaphysical background, scientists have arrived at the gateway where science and religion meet. The dialogue between scientists and religionists that was once blocked by two great walls, secularism and sacralism, is now beginning to fall. Exact science, whose object of study is nature (A), on the one hand, and religious science/Theology, whose object of study is God (T), on the other hand, appear to boil down to social science, namely science whose object of study is human (M), there is an ATM synergy *(Sukamtono, 2002).*

The human position cannot be separated between the life of the state (nature), nation (human), and religion (God). Only the designation is different, in state *(state)* humans are called citizens, in *nation (nation)* humans are called nations, and in religion *(religion) humans are* called people. What is the pattern of relationship between the three components? In a state, citizens need objective scientific concepts that are trusted, in religion, people rely on religious teachings that are believed to be true, and in a nation, a duality between religious teachings and scientific concepts is needed.

Humans move because they have power or energy. Energy arises because of the existence of two positive and negative poles. If positive meets negative, there will be attraction (fusion) whose movement is fixed (constructive). When positive meets positive or negative with negative, there is a thrust (vision) whose movement spreads (destructive). At this level, the terms positive, negative, constructive and destructive are still *free value.*

Albert Enstein entered a new phase, entering the realm of *value* with the proposition "*God is subtle, but He is not malicious"*. The next development, I don't know who started it, is that positive is categorized as "beautiful" which connotes 'good' and 'right'. Negative, on the other hand, is categorized as "ugly" which connotes 'evil' and 'wrong'. Thus in the gross realm there is the beautiful, good and right and there is ugly, evil and wrong. Similarly, in the subtle (metaphysical) realm of God there is what is beautiful, good and right and what is ugly, evil and wrong.

Right and wrong fall into the realm of moral values based on exact sciences. Good and bad (evil) fall into the area of ethical values based on social sciences. Beautiful and ugly fall into the realm of aesthetic values based on *art.* The approach of exact science or natural science (A) is through ratios. The approach of art and or the science of divinity (T) is through taste. While the social humanitarian approach (M) is with the duality of sense and ratio. Giddens says that in essence, the duality of structures and actors lies in the process in which social structures are both the result and the means of social practices that are patterned and repeated across time and space (2002:19). The phrase "Duality of Structure and Actors", which is the motor of Giddens' Structuration, has the same meaning as "Unity in Diversity" which is the symbol of the Republic of Indonesia. Substantially, social scientists have actually discussed it, but with different conceptualizations. Berger, for example, uses the term

Social Construction, "Subjective and objective reality". Marx called it Historical Materialism "Material infrastructure and ideal superstructure.

Early 21st century Stephen R. Covey wrote the book *The 8th Habits from Effectiveness to Greatness* which became *The Best Seller* in 2004. This book explains the continuity of primitive human behavior to today's supra-modern. Covey said that society moves and develops through 8 (eight) stages. 1. *Hunter*; 2. *Agriculture;* 3. *Industrial;* 4. *Information / Knowledge Work;* 5. *Wisdom;* 6. Freedom*;* 7. Peace; 8. *Spirituality*. The combination of Giddens' structuration theory and Covey's Habitus theory above produces a TAM (God Nature Human) Social Interpretation that is scientifically spiritualistic and constructive. This model explains how to build sustainable human resources, realizing a naturalist humanist and religious society (Ali Sukamtono, 2011).

4. Substance, Position and Essence

Humans consist of two elements, body and *mind,* soul and body, physical and mental, *body and mind*. The body comes from nature (soil, water, and air). The soul or spirit comes from God. Of course, the source of human strength or power comes from these two factors, nature and God. In secular science, God is not taken into account, and sometimes even considered non-existent. This is a big mistake in analyzing the religious citizens of Indonesia. The belief in God's power is an infinite resource. In the structure of Pancasila, Godhead is positioned in the first place. This construction is important to understand so that the next step is right on target.

The essence of human beings is duality (Bhineka Tunggal Ika), *body-mind, soul-body, body-spirit*. Different *bodies* but the same *mindset*. The body comes from nature and the spirit comes from God. The human position cannot be separated between the life of the state, nation and religion. However, the substance of the designation is different, in *state (state)* humans are called citizens, in nation *(nation)* humans are called nations, and in religion *(religion) humans* are called people. How to build a whole and sustainable society?

1. In the state, citizens need a reliable, empirical-measurable, objective scientific, synergic-constructive, holistic-interconnective, concrete-real, structured-measurable, ratio-public, number-quantity concept;

2. In religion, people need the basis of their religious beliefs, conceptual-interpretable, subjective-symbolic, spirit-normative, abstract-maya, sense-private, meaning-quality;

3. In the nation, a duality base is needed between religious teachings (religious) which boils down to a sincere heart, and scientific concepts (sanistifik) which are centered in the brain (intelligent mind).

5. References

Askafi, E. & Ali Sukamtono, 2019, *IQRA: Reading Reality and Script, Factual and Conceptual Duality*, *International Seminar Religion and Culture Paradox in Social, Economic and Business Sciences,* Surabaya, October 16-17, 2019. Al-Faruqi, Isma'il Raji, 1415/1995, *Trialogue of the Abrahamic Faiths,* Beltsville: Amana Publications, Fourth Edition.

Al-Qardlawi, Yusuf, 1989, *Methods and Ethics of Science Development, Sunnah Perspective* Introduction: HAM Saefuddin, Bandung: CV Rosda RD,

Al-Taftazani, Abu al-Wafa al-Ghanimi *Sufi from Age to Age*, transl. Ahmad Rofi' Uthmani, (Bandung: Pustaka, 1997)..

Ali, Mukti. H.A., 1988, *Science of Comparative Religion in Indonesia,* Yogyakarta: IAIN Sunan Kalijaga Press.

Amir Aziz, Ahmad, 1999, *Islamic Neo-Modernism in Indonesia, Central Ideas of Nurcholish Madjid & Abdurrahman Wahid,* Jakarta: Rineka Cipta Publisher.

-------- & Ali Sukamtono, 2019, *STAR 3G 7S (Smart Talk Action Result, God Gospel Gold, Al Fatihah), Journal ICIS*, *International Conference on Interdiciplinary Sciences.* - & Ali Sukamtono, 2020, *Optimizing NGH: New Resources Paradigm, Journal ICIS, International Conference on Interdiciplinary Sciences.*

Armstrong, Karen, 2000, *Fighting for God, Fundamentalism in Islam, Christianity, and Judaism*. Bandung: Mizan Publishers.

Bachtiar, Harsja W., *Society, Nation, State, and People in Indonesia,* Indonesian Journal of Sociology, 1: 1996

Bagir, Haidar and Zainal Abidin (introduction), 1998, *"Islamic Philosophy of Science: Reality or Delusion",* in Mahdi Ghulsyani, *Philosophy of Science According to the Qur'an*, ed. Agus Effendi, Bandung: Mizan.

Barbour, Ian G., 2000, *When Science Meets Religion: Enemies, Strangers, or Partners?* New York: Harper San Francisci.

Barton, Greg, and Greg Fealy, Eds., 1997, *Radical Traditionalism: The Nahdlatul Ulama-State Relationship* (Monash Asia Institute 1996), Yogyakarta: LkiS.

Berger, Peter L., 1982, The *Pyramid of Human Sacrifice, Political Ethics and Social Change,* Translator A. Rahman Tolleng, Introduction by Johannes Muller, Jakarta: LP3ES. -------------, 1991, *Sacred Sky, Religion as Social Reality*, Translator Hartono, Jakarta: LP3ES.

Bertens, K. (1999) *History of Greek Philosophy,* Yogyakarta: Canisius.

Bisri, Mustofa, K.H.A., et.al., Eds, 2000. *Beyond the Symbols, Anthropological Traces of Gus Dur's Thought and Movement*, Bandung: PT Rosdakarya & INCReS. Blumer, H., 1986, *Symbolic Interactionism: Perspective and* Method, Berkeley-LosAngelos-London: University of California Press.

Bryan, Wilson, 1982, *Religion in Sociological Perspective*, Oxford and New York: Oxford University Press.

Bucaille, Maurice, 1998, The *Origin of Man According to Biblical Qur'anic Science, trans*. Rahmani Astuti, Bandung: Mizan. Capra, Fritjof, 2000, The *Turning Point of Civilization: Science, Society and the Rise ofCulture*, Jogjakarta: Bentang Budaya.

Covey, Stephen R., 2004, *The 8 th Habit from Effectiveness to Greatness*, Free Press,New York, London, Toronto, Sydney.

Daudy, Ahmad, 1983, *God and Man, in the Conception of Sheikh Nuruddin ar-Raniry,* CV Rajawali.

Dharwis, Ellyasa, Ed., 1994, Gus Dur, NU, and Civil Society. Yogyakarta: LKiS and Pustaka Pelajar. Cet. 1st

Effendy, Bahtiar, 1998, *Islam and the State: Transformation of Islamic Political Thought and Practice in Indonesia*. Jakarta: Paramadina. Cet, 1st.

Feillard, Andrée, 1999, *NU Vis-à-Vis Negara: The Search for Content, Form, and Meaning.*(Dissertation EHESS, Paris 199), Yogyakarta: LkiS.

Foucault, Michel, 1980, *Power/Knowledge: Selected Interviews and Other Writing 1972- 1977* (Edited by Collin Gordon), Brighton : Penguin Books.

Geertz, Clifford, 1976, *The Religion of Java*, Chicago: The University of Chicago Press. Giddens, Anthony & Jonathan Turner, 1988, *Social Theory Today,* California: StanfordUniversity Press.

Glasse, Cyril, 1996, *Encyclopedia of Islam,* Jakarta: PT RajaGrafindo.

Golshani, Mehdi, 2003, *Philosophy of Science According to the Qur'an*, translator Agus Effendi, Bandung: Mizan Media Utama.

Golshani, M., 1997, *From Physics to Metaphysics*, Tehran: Institute for Humanities and Culture Studies.

Haught, John F., 1995, *Science and Religion, From Conflict to Conversation*, New York; Paulist Press.

Hawking, Stephen W., 1988, *A Brief History of Time*, New York: Bantam Book. Hermann, Robert L., 2001, *Expanding Humanity's Vision of God : New Thougts onScience and Religion*, Philadelphia & London: Templeton Foundations Press. Hery Priyono, B. 2002, Anthony Giddens, An Introduction, Jakarta; KPG (KepustakaanPopular Gramedia)

Hidayat, Komaruddin, 2004, *Interpreting God's Will,* Jakarta: TERAJU Publisher, Second Printing.

--------, & Nafis, Muhamad Wahyudi, 2003, *Religion of the Future, A Perennial Philosophy Perspective,* Jakarta: PT Gramedia Pustaka Utama Publisher.

Hoeve, Van, 1980, *Encyclopedia of Indonesia*, Volume 2, Jakarta: New Overv Jabali, Fuad and Jamhari, Eds., 2002, *IAIN and the Modernization of Islam in Indonesia,*Jakarta: Logos Wacana Ilmu.

Hofmann, Murod Wilfried, The *Synergy of Faith and Science*, in Jauhar, Journal of Contextual Islamic Thought, vol.4 No.2. PPs UIN Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta, 2003, pp. 232- 234

Juergensmeyer, Mark, 2002, *Terror in the Name of God: The Global Rise of Religious Violence,* Translator M. Sadat Ismail, Jakarta: Nizam Press. Kadir, Abdul, 1995, *Energy: Resources, Innovation, Power, and Economic Potential,*Second Edition, UI-Press.

Khaeroni, Ed., 2001, *Islam and Social Hegemony*, Jakarta: Mediacita.

Khaldun, Ibn, (t.t.), *Muqadimah Ibn Khaldun,* Cairo: Al Maktabah al-Tijaariyah.

Klaus, Krippendorf, 1991*, Content Analysis: An Introduction to Theory and Methodology*, transl. Farid Wadjidi, Jakarta: Rajawali Press.

Leahy, Louis, 1985, *Man a Mystery, A Philosophical Synthesis of a Paradoxical Being.* Jakarta: PT Gramedia Publishers.iew.

Mastuhu, 1994, The *Dynamics of the Pesantren Education System*, Jakarta: INIS.

Mas'ud, Abdurrahman, 2002, Menggagas Format Pendidikan Nondikotomik (ReligiousHumanism as a Paradigm of Islamic Education), Yogyakarta: Gama Media. Murata, Sachico, 1996, *The Tao of Islam,* Bandung: Mizan Publishers.

Madjid, Nurcholish, 1993, *Some Reflections on Religious Life for the Next Generation*, Journal of Science and Culture 'Ulumul Qur'an No.1, Vol IV 1993

- , 2000, *Pluralism, Tolerance, and the Meeting Point of Religions:* A Review Islam, Paper, delivered at the XXV Anniversary of Darul 'Ulum University, Jombang, 11 Jumada al-Tsaniyah 1421, (September 10, 2000).

--------, 2003, *What Does It Mean To Be Human: Quranic Perspective,* International Conference on Religion and Science in the Post-Colonial World, Gajah Mada University and Templeton Foundation USA, Yogyakarta: January 2nd to 5th 2003

Musta'in, Mujib & Ali Sukamtono (2021) *Seven Steps of Dr.K.H. Musta'in Romly's Thought in the Religion of the Nation and the State*

-------, Eka Askafi, Ali Sukamtono (2022) *ANALYSIS FAST (Fatonah, Amanah, Sidiq, Tablig) 4C (Concept, Competent, Commitment, Connection)* Resource Management of National Citizens and Ummah, Religious Humanist Natutralis Interconnection. Journal ICIS *(International Conference of Interdisciplinary Sciences).*

Muzani, Saiful, ed. (1996) *Rational Islam: The Ideas and Thought of Prof. Dr. Harun Nasution,* Bandung: Mizan.

Nasr, Sayyed Hossein, 1970, *Science and Civilization in Islam, With a Preface by Giorgio de Santilana,* New York: New American Library.

--------, 1994, *Islamic Tradition in the Midst of the Modern World*, transl. Luqman Hakim, Bandung: Pustaka.

Nasution, Harun, 1995, *Rational Islam*, Bandung: Mizan Publishers.

Noor, Kautsar Azhari, 2002, *Parennial Tasawuf; Critical wisdom of the Sufis,* Jakarta: PTSerambi Ilmu Semesta.

Ridjal, Tadjoer Bdr., 2004, *Tamparization of the Javanese Rural Santri Tradition, Case Study of the Interpenetration of the Identity of Wong Njaba, Wong Njero, and Wong Mambu-mambu*, Surabaya: Kampusina Foundation. Ritzer, George, 1975, *Sociology: A Multiple Paradigm Science,* University of Maryland, The McGraw-Hill Companies Inc.

Robertson, Roland, 1993, *Religion: In Sociological Analysis and Interpretation*. Translator: A.F. Saifuddin. Foreword: Parsudi Suparlan. Jakarta: PT RajaGrafindo Persada.

Shihab, Alwi (1977) *Inclusive Islam, Toward an Open Attitude in Religion,* Bandung: Mizan Publishers

Smith, Hutson, 1995*, The Religions of Man,* Translator Saafroedin Bahar, Introduction Djohan Effendi, Jakarta: Yayasan Obor Indonesia.

Stannard, Russel. Ed., 2000, *God for the 21stst Century*, Philadelphia & London: Templeton Foundations Press.

Syahrur, Muhammad, 1994, *Dirasah al-Islamiyah Mu'ashirah*, Beirut: Damascus. Shari'ati, Ali, 1989, *Ummah and Imamate, A Sociological Review*. Translator AfifMuhammad. Bandung: Pustaka Hidayah.

Sukamtono, Ali, 1996, The *Influence of Kiai Social Status on the Number of Santri in Pesantren Jombang,* Surabaya: Master's Thesis in Social Sciences PPs Unair.

--------, *Reconstruction of Kiai Musta'in's Thought on the Education System at Darul 'Ulum University Jombang,* Dissertation, Social Sciences, Unmer Malang, 2011

--------, (2002) *ATM Synergy (Synthesis of Nature, God, and Human Energy): A New Research Paradigm, Proceeding of* National Postgraduate Seminar II, ITS Surabaya: September 4-5, 2002

--------, 2002, *SYNERGY NGM (Synthesis of Energy of Nature, God, and Man): A Paradigm towards World Peace,* International Seminar Globalization, Religion, and the Media in the Islamic World: Intercultural Dialogue, Yogyakarta (Indonesia), October 8 -9^{thth} 2002

--------, 2011, *Reconstruction of Kiai Musta'in Romly's Thought on the Education System at Darul Ulum University Jombang*, Dissertation *of* Merdeka University Malang

---------, 2016, *Kiai Musta'in Romly, Tarekat Pesantren and Science, The London Brain of the Heart of the Grand Mosque, Reconstruction of Islamic Religious Education Social Thought,* Jenggala Pustaka Utama, Surabaya

- , 2016, *TAM Social Interpretation, Reconstruction of Kiai Musta'in Romly's Trident Thought,*

Islamadina, Journal of Private Islamic Post-Secondary Education, Vol. I, No.2, July-December 2016

---------, 2017, *The Social Construction of Multicultural based on The Ummul Quran,* Proceeding *of* International Seminar on Islamist Civilization (ISIC), APAISI, Wonosobo, 2017.

---------Machrus Ali*, 2021,* Seven Constructive Steps for Holistic Research, Whole Qualitative Quantitative 21, *National Conference Multidiciplinary (NiCMa)*

Taher, Tarmidzi, 1997, *Aspiring for the Middle Path Religious Harmony in Indonesia.* Jakarta: CENCIS, Center for the Study of Islam and Society.

Tamim, Romly, 1965, *Tsamrotul Fikriyah*. Jamaah Mujahadah Tariqoh Qodiriyah wa Naqsabandiyah (Jamu Taqwa), Jombang.

Vroom, Hendrik M., 1989, *Religions and the Thruth*, Translated by J.W. Rebel, Michigan: William B. Fredmans.

Wahid, Abdurrahman, 1989, *Formulating the Relationship between National Ideology and Religion*, in Gus Dur on Trial by Kiai-kiai, Editors K.H.Imron Hamzah and Choirul Anam, Surabaya: Jawa Pos Publishers, 1989, pp. 54-55.

Woodward, R Mark, 2000, *Javanese Islam: Normative Piety versus Spirituality* (Dissertation USA). Yogyakarta: LKíS. 1st edition.